

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

Petitioner, §  Vs. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:24-4525-MGL  WARDEN MR. GRAHAM, § Respondent. §	JAMES ALLEN BOWERS,	§
WARDEN MR. GRAHAM, §	Petitioner,	§
WARDEN MR. GRAHAM, §		§
,	VS.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 0:24-4525-MGL
,		§
Respondent. §	WARDEN MR. GRAHAM,	§
	Respondent.	§

# ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION AND DISMISSING THIS CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND WITHOUT REQUIRING RESPONDENT TO FILE A RETURN

Petitioner James Allen Bowers (Bowers), a federal prisoner, filed this 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition against Respondent Warden Mr. Graham (Graham). Bowers is representing himself.

The matter is before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation (Report) of the United States Magistrate Judge suggesting to the Court this case be dismissed without prejudice and without requiring Graham to file a return. The Report was made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with the Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

The Magistrate Judge filed the Report on November 19, 2024, but Bowers failed to file any objections. "[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Moreover, a failure to object waives appellate review. Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841, 845-46 (4th Cir. 1985).

After a thorough review of the Report and the record in this case pursuant to the standard set forth above, the Court adopts the Report and incorporates it herein. It is therefore the judgment of the Court this case is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and without requiring Graham to file a return.

To the extent Bowers moves for a certificate of appealability, such request is **DENIED**.

### IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 11th day of December, 2024, in Columbia, South Carolina.

/s/ Mary Geiger Lewis MARY GEIGER LEWIS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

#### \*\*\*\*

#### NOTICE OF RIGHT TO APPEAL

Bowers is hereby notified of the right to appeal this Order within sixty days from the date hereof, pursuant to Rules 3 and 4 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.